

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/808,271  <b>Examiner</b> Qing-Yuan Wu	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PAPINEAU ET AL.  <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"><b>Art Unit</b> 2194</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	<b>Art Unit</b> 2194	
<b>Art Unit</b> 2194				

**All Participants:**

(1) Qing-Yuan Wu

(2) David L. Ciesielski

**Date of Interview:** 24 March 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 1pm EST

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
1, 13, 19, 25 and 32

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*General discussion of newly added claims in the amendment filed on 1/16/09 and the examiner suggested applicant to incorporate limitations from dependent claims 35 and 38-40 in corresponding independent claims for allowability consideration.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Li B. Zhen/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)